

$\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, x_2, \dots) =$  ring of symmetric functions  
w/  $\mathbb{Q}$  coeff.

Hall inner product: a bilinear form

$$\langle , \rangle : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

$$(f, g) \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle$$

Properties of bilinear forms:

- $\langle c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2, g \rangle = c_1 \langle f_1, g \rangle + c_2 \langle f_2, g \rangle$
- $\langle f, c_1 g_1 + c_2 g_2 \rangle = c_1 \langle f, g_1 \rangle + c_2 \langle f, g_2 \rangle$

$\therefore$  A bilinear form is determined by values of  
 $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle$  where  $\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}$  are any  
two bases of the vector space.

Recall:  $\{m_\lambda\}$  and  $\{h_\lambda\}$  are both bases  
of  $\Lambda$ .

Def: Hall inner product is the unique bilinear  
form s.t.

$$\langle m_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases}$$

( $m$ 's,  $h$ 's are called dual bases).

Ex:  $\langle s_{(2,1)}, s_{(2,1)} \rangle = \langle m_{(2,1)} + 2m_{(1,1,1)}, h_{(2,1)} - h_3 \rangle$

$$= \langle m_{(2,1)}, h_{(2,1)} \rangle + 2 \langle m_{(1,1,1)}, h_{(2,1)} \rangle$$

$\nwarrow$  Jacobi-Trudi

$$- \langle m_{(2,1)}, h_{(1,3)} \rangle - 2 \langle m_{(1,1,1)}, h_3 \rangle$$

$$= 1 + 0 - 0 - 0 = \boxed{1}$$

Prop.  $\langle , \rangle$  is symmetric, i.e.  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle$   
for all  $f, g \in \Lambda$ .

Pf. Suffices to show  $\langle h_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle = \langle h_\mu, h_\lambda \rangle$  since  $\{h_\lambda\}$  is a basis.

Recall from last semester that

$$h_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} N_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$$

where  $N_{\lambda\mu} = \#$  integer matrices w/ row sums  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$   
and column sums  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$ .

By transposing the matrices, we notice  $N_{\lambda\mu} = N_{\mu\lambda}$ .

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_r N_{\lambda r} m_r, h_\mu \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_r N_{\lambda r} \langle m_r, h_\mu \rangle \\ &= N_{\lambda\mu} \quad \leftarrow \text{if } r \neq \mu \\ &= N_{\mu\lambda} \\ &= \langle h_\mu, h_\lambda \rangle \quad \text{by same argument.} \end{aligned}$$

QED

Recall from Wed:  $\sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \Omega.$

Def.  $\{u_\lambda\}, \{v_\mu\}$  are dual bases if  $\langle u_\lambda, v_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ .

Thm:  $u, v$  are dual bases iff

$$\sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(x) v_{\lambda}(y) = \Omega.$$

Pf: Write  $m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\rho} \alpha_{\lambda\rho} u_{\rho}$  and  $h_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} \beta_{\mu\nu} v_{\nu}$ .

$$\text{Then } \langle m_{\lambda}, h_{\mu} \rangle = \sum_{\rho, \nu} \alpha_{\lambda\rho} \beta_{\mu\nu} \langle u_{\rho}, v_{\nu} \rangle \quad (*)$$

"  $\delta_{\lambda\mu}$

Let  $A = \text{matrix } A_{\rho r} = \langle u_{\rho}, v_r \rangle$ . Then (\*) means

$$I = \alpha A \beta^T \quad \text{where } \alpha = (\alpha_{\lambda\rho}) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \beta = (\beta_{\mu\nu}) \end{array} \right\} \text{ matrices}$$

So  $u, v$  dual  $\Leftrightarrow A = I$

$$\Leftrightarrow I = \alpha \beta^T$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I = \alpha^T \beta \quad (\text{transpose both sides})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{\delta_{\rho r} = \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda\rho} \beta_{\lambda r}}.$$

$$\text{Now } \Omega = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y) = \sum_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{\rho} \alpha_{\lambda\rho} u_{\rho}(x) \right) \left( \sum_{\nu} \beta_{\lambda\nu} v_{\nu}(y) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\rho, \nu} \left( \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda\rho} \beta_{\lambda\nu} \right) u_{\rho}(x) v_{\nu}(y)$$

$$\text{if dual } \rightarrow = \sum_{\rho, \nu} \delta_{\rho\nu} u_{\rho}(x) v_{\nu}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} u_{\nu}(x) v_{\nu}(y) \quad \checkmark \quad \square$$

Cor:  $\langle \frac{P_\lambda}{z_\lambda}, P_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$  (recall  $z_\lambda = 1^{m_1} m_1! 2^{m_2} m_2! \dots$   
 $m_i = \# \text{ i's in } \lambda$ )

Pf:  $\{ \frac{P_\lambda}{z_\lambda} \}$  still a basis, just renormalized.

On Wednesday, proved  $\sum \frac{P_\lambda(x)}{z_\lambda} P_\lambda(y) = \mathbb{I}$ .

Use above Thm.  $\square$

Cor:  $\langle , \rangle$  is positive definite, i.e.  $\langle f, f \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $f$ ,  
 equality iff  $f=0$

Pf: Write  $f = \sum c_\lambda P_\lambda$  in p basis.

$$\text{Then } \langle f, f \rangle = \sum c_\lambda^2 z_\lambda \quad (\text{since } \langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle = z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda\mu})$$

$$\geq 0$$

Since each  $z_\lambda$  nonzero,  $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$  iff all  $c_\lambda$ 's are 0, i.e. if  $f=0$ .

Cor: Involution  $w$  is an isometry;

$$\langle w f, w g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

Pf: Suffices to check  $\langle w P_\lambda, w P_\mu \rangle$  by linearity of  $w$  and  $\langle , \rangle$ . From last class:  $w P_\lambda = (-1)^{n-k_\lambda} P_\lambda$  where  $k_\lambda = \#$  parts of  $\lambda$ . So

$$\langle w P_\lambda, w P_\mu \rangle = \langle (-1)^{n-k_\lambda} P_\lambda, (-1)^{n-k_\mu} P_\mu \rangle = (-1)^{2n-k_\lambda-k_\mu} \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \end{cases}$$

$$= \delta_{\lambda\mu}.$$

QED