

MATH 501: HOMEWORK 3

1. Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  be a partition of  $n$  (recall that this means that each  $\lambda_i$  is a positive integer and that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ ). Define

$$\binom{n}{\lambda} = \binom{n}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k}$$

to be the number of distinct rearrangements of letters in the word  $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$  (where the exponent indicates the multiplicity of the letter – for instance, the notation  $1^32^43^2$  refers to the word 111222233). This is classically known as the ‘MISSISSIPPI’ problem, since it gives a formula for the number of ways of rearranging the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI. The symbol  $\binom{n}{\lambda}$  is often referred to as a “multinomial coefficient.”

- (a) (1) [1 point] Show that

$$\binom{n}{\lambda} = \binom{n}{\lambda_1} \binom{n - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \dots \binom{n - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k} = \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_k!}.$$

Verify that  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k, n-k}$ .

*Proof.* We begin counting the number of rearrangements of  $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$  by counting the number of ways of choosing which of the  $n$  places in the word are filled by the  $\lambda_1$  1’s, which is  $\binom{n}{\lambda_1}$ . We then choose which of the  $n - \lambda_1$  spots are filled by the  $\lambda_2$  2’s, which is  $\binom{n - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$ , and so forth for each  $\lambda_i$ . Thus,

$$\binom{n}{\lambda} = \binom{n}{\lambda_1} \binom{n - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \dots \binom{n - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k}$$

If we use the formula that  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{\lambda_1} \binom{n - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \dots \binom{n - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k} \\ = \frac{n!}{\lambda_1!(n - \lambda_1)!} \frac{(n - \lambda_1)!}{(\lambda_2)!(n - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)!} \dots \frac{(n - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{k-1})!}{(\lambda_k)!(n - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_k)!}, \end{aligned}$$

which, after canceling terms and recognizing that  $(n - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_k)! = 0! = 1$ , gives the desired expression

$$\binom{n}{\lambda} = \frac{n!}{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k!}.$$

□

- (b) (1+) [2 points] Give a combinatorial proof that

$$\binom{n}{\lambda} = \sum_i \binom{n-1}{\lambda^{(i)}}$$

where  $\lambda^{(i)}$  is the partition of  $n - 1$  formed by reducing the  $i$ -th part by 1 (and then re-ordering the parts from greatest to least). For instance, if  $\lambda = (3, 2, 2, 1)$ , then  $\lambda^{(1)} = (2, 2, 2, 1)$ ,  $\lambda^{(2)} = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ ,  $\lambda^{(3)} = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ , and  $\lambda^{(4)} = (3, 2, 2)$ .

*Proof.* We observe that  $\binom{n-1}{\lambda^{(i)}}$  counts the number of rearrangements of  $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$  that have the character  $i$  in the first position. So, we can find the total number of rearrangements of  $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$  by summing over  $i$ .  $\square$

- (c) (1+) [2 points] Show that these are indeed “multinomial coefficients” in the sense that  $\binom{n}{\lambda}$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}$  in

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k)^n.$$

*Proof.* We consider  $(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k)^n$  as

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k)(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k), \quad (1)$$

then consider the coefficient on  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}$  as the number of ways of assembling this product. Given a rearrangement of the word  $W = 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k}$ , we can associate positions in  $W$  with terms in the product in Equation 1. Then if  $W$  has an  $i$  in position  $j$ , we choose  $x_i$  to be the element of term  $n$  that appears in our monomial. This is a bijection between rearrangements of  $W$  and ways that the monomial  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k}$  can appear in the expansion of Equation 1, so the coefficient is  $\binom{n}{\lambda}$ .  $\square$

2. (1+) [2 points] Use the recursion for the Stirling numbers of the second kind to give a proof by induction on  $n$  that

$$x^n = \sum_k S(n, k)(x)_k$$

(where here  $x$  is just a variable, not a positive integer.)

*Proof.* First, observe that  $x^1 = S(1, 1)(x)_1$ , which simplifies to the tautology  $x = x$ , showing that the base case holds.

Next, observe that

$$x^{n+1} = x x^n = x \sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k)(x)_k = \sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k)x(x)_k \quad (2)$$

by the inductive hypothesis. Recalling the recursion for  $(x)_k$ , that

$$(x)_k = (x)_{k-1}(x - k + 1),$$

we reindex  $k$  to see that

$$(x)_{k+1} = (x)_k(x - k) = x(x)_k - k(x)_k.$$

Solving this for  $x(x)_k$  gives us that

$$x(x)_k = (x)_{k+1} + k(x)_k.$$

Substituting this into Equation 2 we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k)((x)_{k+1} + k(x)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k)(x)_{k+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n kS(n, k)(x)_k.$$

We reindex the first sum to see that this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n+1} S(n, k-1)(x)_k + \sum_{k=1}^n kS(n, k)(x)_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (S(n, k-1) + kS(n, k))(x)_k, \quad (3)$$

where the combining of sums with different summation bounds is justified by the fact that  $S(n, k) = 0$  for all  $k < 1$  and  $k > n$ . We now recall the recursion for  $S(n, k)$ :

$$S(n, k) = S(n - 1, k - 1) + kS(n - 1, k).$$

Reindexing this in  $n$ , we see that

$$S(n + 1, k) = S(n, k - 1) + kS(n, k).$$

If we substitute this recursion into the right-hand side of Equation 3, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} S(n + 1, k)(x)_k,$$

which is precisely what we needed to show.  $\square$

4. (2) [3 points] Show that, if a permutation  $\pi$  can be written as a reduced word using an even number of transpositions, then  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  is even. Similarly, if it can be written as a product of an odd number of transpositions, then  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  is odd. Conclude that the number of transpositions in any two reduced words for a permutation must have the same parity (even or oddness).

Thus, we can define the *sign* of a permutation  $\pi$ , denoted  $\text{sgn}(\pi)$ , to be 1 if it is a product of an even number of transpositions and  $-1$  if it is a product of an odd number of transpositions. Show that

$$\text{sgn}(\pi \circ \sigma) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\sigma)$$

for any two permutations  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* We can view the permutation  $\pi$  in list form as being obtained by applying  $\pi$  as a product of adjacent transpositions  $S_i$  to the simply ordered word  $123\dots n$ . Observe that  $\text{inv}(123\dots n) = 0$ , and that applying  $S_i$  to any permutation in list form changes  $\text{inv}$  by precisely 1: if  $i$  and  $i + 1$  were properly ordered before, then after the application of  $S_i$ , they are in reverse order, and  $\text{inv}$  has increased by one, while if they were in reverse order before, then after they are properly ordered and  $\text{inv}$  has decreased by 1.

Therefore, if  $\pi$  can be written as a reduced word using an even number of transpositions,  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  differs from 0 by an even amount, and as 0 is even,  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  must be even. Similarly,  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  differs from 0 by an odd amount if  $\pi$  can be written as a reduced word using an odd number of transpositions. Since  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  depends only on  $\pi$ , not on the particular form of the reduced word representing  $\pi$ , the parity of the number of transpositions in any reduced word for  $\pi$  must be invariant.

To see that  $\text{sgn}(\pi \circ \sigma) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\sigma)$ , we observe that to get  $\pi \circ \sigma$  into reduced word format, we are only cancelling identical adjacent transpositions that appear consecutively in  $\pi \circ \sigma$ ; that is, if  $S_i S_i$  appears in  $\pi \circ \sigma$ , we remove them. Note that in doing so, we are always removing precisely two transpositions, so the parity of the number of transpositions in  $\pi \circ \sigma$  is unchanged. Therefore,  $\text{sgn}(\pi \circ \sigma)$  is odd if and only if exactly one of  $\text{sgn}(\pi)$  or  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  are odd, which is precisely the condition needed to make  $\text{sgn}(\pi \circ \sigma) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\sigma)$  hold.  $\square$